

Romano L. Mazzoli

inancial Disclosure: Should We Let CIA Agents Lie?

During the second week of April, the House is cheduled to consider H.R. 1, a measure calling for omprehensive governmental financial disclosure of the first transfer of the first

comprehensive governmental financial disclosure. Yef, H.R. I. officially entitled the Ethics in Goyernment Act, ironically—and unacceptably—gives the Intelligence community the legal right to lie.

In commenting on the bargain struck between former Central Intelligence Agency director Rich and Helms and the Justice Department, President Carler said, "A public official does not have a right to lie." Attorney General Bell said of the same case, "It sets the intelligence community out on sense to course," and the same case, "It sets the intelligence community out on sense to the course," and the same community out on sense to the course, "A course," and the same community out on sense to the course, "It sets the intelligence communities appear to the CIA to lie 16 libe public and to Confirm Without any testimony 10. Justify—that oxtraordinary action, the subcommittee appear for the intelligence community halled by the administration and the legal right to lie it subsequently requested of Congress could not be more striking.

dupnity requested of Congress could not be hove striking.

1.1.1. 1 requires a complete financial disclosure by some 20,000 high-ranking federal employees and their spouses and dependents.

Under the hill, most of those employees must file annual reports making public such information as source and amount of income; source, value and description of gifts given and received, value and identity of personal and real property; and certain liabilities and business relationships.

Refusal to file reports porting of false information—exposes an employee to criminal penalties of up to \$10,000 in fines, and imprisonment for up to one year.

In a concession to the intelligence agencies, the bill contains a provision that would allow the president to exempt from public disclosure any fundament reports filed by an intelligence agent. The provision is designed to protect an intelligence.

The writer, a member of the House Judiciary and Intelligence committees, is a Democratic representative from Kentucky.

agent working under the cover of being an employee of a nonnicilligence agency of the rederal government.

However, this "cover" provision clearly was not satisfactory to the CIA. The agency requested that the president additionally, he authorized to allow an intelligence agent to disclose to the public a laserinancial report.

Under the CIA's proposal, agents would file traitful reports with the president and disclose faise information to the public for cover purpose. No one would be allowed to examine the true reports or to challenge the presidents accision to challenge the presidents accision to

There was neither public nor closed session tes-timony to justify the granting of that exception. The CIA's one-schience request stands along in-justification of the provision.

cuss its proposal, However, ing was made after my subcommittee had agreed to the proposal and after the CIA learned that I intended to challenge the provision in full commit-

tee.

From my meeting with the CIA I gather the agency justifies the false-disclosing provision because it facilitates placing intelligence agents in top posts in nonintelligence federal agencies.

Such an execution may not be cause for alarm

top posts in nonintelligence federal agencies.

Such an exception may not be cause for alarm with a president, an altorney general and a CIA director who do not believe public officials have an inherent right to He. They guarantee the disclosure exception will be infrequently and judiciously exercised.

But persons with vastly different attitudes could succeed to those positions. They might not be prudent or watchful in the exercise of the disclosure exemption. That could easily lead to except they be practically an exercised the disclosure exemption. That could easily lead to executive branch abuse of the Constitutional rights of American cilizens.

American cilizens.

It would be unforgivably ironic if a provision of a financial-disclosure bill—held out to be an ethical reform and aimed at restoring public confidence—were to lay the groundwork for a return to executive abuses.

The false-report language also contradicts Car-

The false-report language also contrautes cat-ter's statement in transmitting this proposed legis-lation to Congress. The American people must be a assured that no one, regardless of position, is above the law."

Further, there are policy reasons to question an unrestrained CIA use of other governmental agen-

or the intentional re.... The CIA did meet with me and my staff to dis-tion—exposes an em—cuss its proposal. However, the offer of that meet—the Peace Corps and State Department as "cover" the Peace Corps and State Department as "cover" organizations have damaged the credibility of those agencies in many countries and limited their ability to perform their missions success-

fully.

To grant such blanket exemption without cargiful testimeny and serious congressional debate is not responsible lawmaking.

The House should remove the false reports language from LLR. I fler the CLA and its associates in the intelligence community should be requested to come before the nouse and Senate inclinence communities to justify—if that is possible—the need for such an exemption and to office feasible alternatives.

One autornative would be to require those communities and senate inclined the communities of the need for such an exemption and to office feasible alternatives.

ple alternatives.

One atternative would be to require those compilers to consider all requests for the right to full list of the right for the right full list of t

cesses and abuses.

cesses and abuses.

On as I hope it will do, it can send a powerful and assuring messate to the nation that no public official has a right to lie and none will be permit-